

**Final Assessment Report**  
Submitted by SUPR-U to SCAPA

<b>Undergraduate Program:</b>	<b>Software Engineering</b>
-------------------------------	-----------------------------

**Quality and Other Indicators: Faculty:** there is a higher than usual reliance on LD/LT instructors; class size seems to have gone beyond what the department should actually accept to keep a reasonable level of quality.

**Program:** program meets CEAB standards for accreditation.

**Students:** many are attracted by a single LD instructor; retention is good; enrolment of women is low.

**Quality Enhancement:** "There was no material available to the reviewers to address this point."

**Conclusion and Recommendations:** In this section, the consultants commented that "resources are constrained." They also made three brief recommendations:

1. the curriculum should be examined closely to improve connections among courses;
2. more coordination with Computer Science may be beneficial;
3. the Department should find ways to better incorporate student feedback and input from the local software industry in evolution of the program.

The Department responded to a total of 24 questions, comments, and issues raised in the external consultant's report. The external consultants mentioned only four particular recommendations in the conclusion to their report:

Recommendation	Responsibility
----------------	----------------